UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,884	03/08/2002	Jean-Sebastien Coron	1032326-000161	5848
21839 7590 10/27/2008 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE		HENNING, MATTHEW T		
ALEAANDKIA	x, v A 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/913,884	CORON ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MATTHEW T. HENNING	2431				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>MATTHEW T. HENNING</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Steven Ashburn</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>16 October 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	r)∏ applicant's representative	<b>;</b> ]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>None</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>IDS dated 8/17/2001</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative indicated that one of the references had not been considered, but should have been considered as it was presented in a foreign search report. The examiner has considered the reference, as indicated in the attached 1449.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Matthew T Henning/						